

UDC 510.67  
IRSTI 27.03.66

<https://doi.org/10.55452/1998-6688-2022-19-1-23-29>

## BINARY CONVEXITY RANK IN ALMOST OMEGA-CATEGORICAL WEAKLY O-MINIMAL THEORIES

AMIRBEK G.S., KULPESHOV B.SH.

*Kazakh-British Technical University, 050000, Almaty, Kazakhstan*

**Abstract.** The present paper concerns the notion of weak o-minimality that was initially deeply studied by D. Macpherson, D. Marker and C. Steinhorn. A subset  $A$  of a linearly ordered structure  $M$  is convex if for all  $a, b \in A$  and  $c \in M$  whenever  $a < c < b$  we have  $c \in A$ . A weakly o-minimal structure is a linearly ordered structure  $M = \langle M, =, <, \dots \rangle$  such that any definable (with parameters) subset of  $M$  is a union of finitely many convex sets in  $M$ . A criterion for equality of the binary convexity ranks for non-weakly orthogonal non-algebraic 1-types in almost omega-categorical weakly o-minimal theories in case of existing an element of the set of realizations of one of these types the definable closure of which has a non-empty intersection with the set of realizations of another type is found.

**Keywords:** weak o-minimality, almost omega-categoricity, convexity rank, weak orthogonality, equivalence relation.

## ОМЕГА-КАТЕГОРИЯЛЫҚ ДЕРЛІК ӘЛСІЗ О-МИНИМАЛДЫ ТЕОРИЯЛАРЫНДА БИНАРЛЫҚ ДӨҢЕСТІК РАНГІСІ

АМИРБЕК Г.С., КУЛПЕШОВ Б.Ш.

*Қазақстан-Британ техникалық университеті, 050000, Алматы қ., Қазақстан*

**Аңдатпа.** Мақала бастапқыда Д. Макферсон, Д. Маркер және Ч. Стайнхорн терең зерттеген әлсіз о-минималдылық түсінігіне қатысты. Сызықтық реттелген  $M$  құрылымының  $A$  ішкі жиыны дөңес болады, егер кез келген  $a, b \in A$  және  $c \in M$  кезінде  $a < c < b$  бізде  $c \in A$  болса. Әлсіз о-минималды құрылым – бұл  $M$  құрылымының кез келген анықталатын (параметрлері бар) ішкі жиыны  $M$ -дегі дөңес жиындардың ақырлы санының бірігуі болатындай  $M = \langle M, =, <, \dots \rangle$  сызықты реттелген құрылым. Бинарлық дөңестік рангілері теңдігінің критерийі әлсіз ортогональды емес алгебралық емес 1-типтері үшін дерлік омега-категориялық әлсіз о-минималды теорияларда осы түрлердің біреуінің жүзеге асу жиынынан элемент болған жағдайда табылады, оның анықталатын жабылуы басқа түрдегі іске асыру жиынымен бос емес қиылысы бар.

**Түйінді сөздер:** әлсіз о-минималдық, дерлік омега-категориялық, дөңестік рангісі, әлсіз ортогоналдық, эквиваленттік қатынас.

## БИНАРНЫЙ РАНГ ВЫПУКЛОСТИ В ПОЧТИ ОМЕГА-КАТЕГОРИЧНЫХ СЛАБО О-МИНИМАЛЬНЫХ ТЕОРИЯХ

АМИРБЕК Г.С., КУЛПЕШОВ Б.Ш.

Казахстанско-Британский технический университет, 050000, г. Алматы, Казахстан

**Аннотация.** Настоящая статья касается понятия слабой о-минимальности, первоначально глубоко исследованного Д. Макферсоном, Д. Маркером и Ч. Стайнхорном. Подмножество  $A$  линейно упорядоченной структуры  $M$  является выпуклым, если для любых  $a, b \in A$  и  $c \in M$  всякий раз, когда  $a < c < b$ , мы имеем  $c \in A$ . Слабо о-минимальной структурой называется линейно упорядоченная структура  $M = \langle M, =, <, \dots \rangle$  такая, что любое определимое (с параметрами) подмножество структуры  $M$  является объединением конечного числа выпуклых множеств в  $M$ . Найден критерий равенства бинарных рангов выпуклости для не слабо ортогональных неалгебраических 1-типов в почти омега-категоричных слабо о-минимальных теориях в случае существования элемента из множества реализаций одного из этих типов, определимое замыкание которого имеет непустое пересечение со множеством реализаций другого типа.

**Ключевые слова:** слабая о-минимальность, почти омега-категоричность, ранг выпуклости, слабая ортогональность, отношение эквивалентности.

### Introduction

Let  $L$  be a countable first-order language. Throughout this paper we consider  $L$ -structures and suppose that  $L$  contains a binary relation symbol  $<$  which is interpreted as a linear order in these structures. The notion of weak o-minimality was originally studied in [1]. Real closed fields with a proper convex valuation ring provide an important example of weakly o-minimal structures [2, 3].

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be arbitrary subsets of a linearly ordered structure  $M$ . Then the expression  $A < B$  means that  $a < b$  whenever  $a \in A$ , and  $A < b$  means that  $A < \{b\}$ . For an arbitrary subset  $A$  of  $M$  we introduce the following notations:  $A^+ := \{b \in M \mid A < b\}$  and  $A^- := \{b \in M \mid b < A\}$ . For an arbitrary one-type  $p$  we denote by  $p(M)$  the set of realizations of  $p$  in  $M$ . If  $B \subseteq M$  and  $E$  is an equivalence relation on  $M$  then we denote by  $B/E$  the set of equivalence classes ( $E$ -classes) which have representatives in  $B$ . If  $f$  is a function on  $M$  then we denote by  $\text{Dom}(f)$  the domain of  $f$ . A theory  $T$  is said to be binary if every formula of the theory  $T$  is equivalent in  $T$  to a boolean combination of formulas with at most two free variables.

**Definition 1.** Let  $T$  be a weakly o-minimal theory,  $M \models T$ ,  $A \subseteq M$ ,  $p, q \in S_1(A)$  be non-algebraic. We say that  $p$  is not weakly orthogonal to  $q$  (denoting this by  $p \not\perp^w q$ ) if there exist an  $L_A$ -formula  $H(x, y)$ ,  $\alpha \in p(M)$  and  $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in q(M)$  such that  $\beta_1 \in H(M, \alpha)$  and  $\beta_2 \notin H(M, \alpha)$ .

In other words,  $p$  is weakly orthogonal to  $q$  (denoting this by  $p \perp^w q$ ) if  $p(x) \cup q(y)$  has a unique extension to a complete 2-type over  $A$ .

**Lemma 2.** [4] Let  $T$  be a weakly o-minimal theory,  $M \models T$ ,  $A \subseteq M$ . Then the relation of non-weak orthogonality  $\not\perp^w$  is an equivalence relation on  $S_1(A)$ .

**Definition 3** [5] Let  $T$  be a weakly o-minimal theory,  $M$  is a sufficiently saturated model of  $T$ ,  $A \subseteq M$ . The rank of convexity of the set  $A$  ( $\text{RC}(A)$ ) is defined as follows:

- 1)  $\text{RC}(A) = -1$  if  $A = \emptyset$
- 2)  $\text{RC}(A) = 0$  if  $A$  is finite and non-empty.
- 3)  $\text{RC}(A) \geq 1$  if  $A$  is infinite.
- 4)  $\text{RC}(A) \geq \alpha + 1$  if there exist a parametrically definable equivalence relation  $E(x, y)$  and an infinite sequence of elements  $b_i \in A$ ,  $i \in \omega$  such that:

For every  $i, j \in \omega$  whenever  $i \neq j$  we have  $M \models \neg E(b_i, b_j)$ ;

For every  $i \in \omega$   $\text{RC}(E(M, b_i)) \geq \alpha$  and  $E(M, b_i)$  is a convex subset of  $A$ .

- 5)  $\text{RC}(A) \geq \delta$ , if  $\text{RC}(A) \geq \alpha$  for all  $\alpha < \delta$ , where  $\delta$  is a limit ordinal.

If  $\text{RC}(A) = \alpha$  for some  $\alpha$ , we say that  $\text{RC}(A)$  is defined. Otherwise (i.e. if  $\text{RC}(A) \geq \alpha$  for all  $\alpha$ ), we put  $\text{RC}(A) = \infty$ .

The rank of convexity of a formula  $\phi(x, \bar{a})$ , where  $\bar{a} \in M$ , is defined as the rank of convexity of the set  $\phi(M, \bar{a})$ , i.e.  $\text{RC}(\phi(x, \bar{a})) := \text{RC}(\phi(M, \bar{a}))$ . The rank of convexity of an 1-type  $p$  is defined as the rank of convexity of the set  $p(M)$ , i.e.  $\text{RC}(p) := \text{RC}(p(M))$ .

In particular, a theory has convexity rank 1 if there are no definable (with parameters) equivalence relations with infinitely many infinite convex classes.

We say that the convexity rank of an arbitrary set

$A$  is binary and denote it by  $RC_{bin}(A)$  if in Definition 3 parametrically definable equivalence relations are replaced by  $\emptyset$ -definable (i.e. binary) equivalence relations.

Definition 4. [6, 7] Let  $T$  be a complete theory, and  $p_1(x_1), \dots, p_n(x_n) \in S_1(\emptyset)$ . A type  $q(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S_n(\emptyset)$  is said to be a  $(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ -type if

$$q(x_1, \dots, x_n) \supseteq p_1(x_1) \cup p_2(x_2) \cup \dots \cup p_n(x_n).$$

The set of all  $(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ -types of the theory  $T$  is denoted by  $S_{p_1, \dots, p_n}(T)$ . A countable theory  $T$  is said to be almost  $\omega$ -categorical if for any types  $p_1(x_1), \dots, p_n(x_n) \in S_1(\emptyset)$  there are only finitely many types  $q(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S_{p_1, \dots, p_n}(T)$ .

Almost  $\omega$ -categoricity is closely connected with the notion of Ehrenfeuchtness of a theory. So in [6] it was proved that if  $T$  is an almost  $\omega$ -categorical theory with  $I(T, \omega) = 3$  then a dense linear order is interpreted in  $\$T\$$ . Nonetheless there is an example (constructed by M.G. Peretyat'kin in [8]) of a theory with the condition  $I(T, \omega) = 3$  that is not almost  $\omega$ -categorical.

In [9] the authors established almost  $\omega$ -categoricity of Ehrenfeucht quite o-minimal theories and that the Exchange Principle for the algebraic closure holds in almost  $\omega$ -categorical quite o-minimal theories. Recently in [10] orthogonality of any family of pairwise weakly orthogonal non-algebraic 1-types over  $\emptyset$  for such theories and binarity of almost  $\omega$ -categorical quite o-minimal theories were proved. Also, in [11] binarity of almost omega-categorical weakly o-minimal theories of convexity rank 1 was established. At last, in the work [12] a criterion for binarity of almost omega-categorical weakly o-minimal theories in terms of convexity rank was found.

Theorem 5. [10] Let  $T$  be an almost omega-categorical weakly o-minimal theory,  $p \in S_1(\emptyset)$  be non-algebraic. Then  $RC_{bin}(p) < \omega$ .

Recall some notions originally introduced in [1]. Let  $Y \subset M^{n+1}$  be an  $\emptyset$ -definable subset, let  $\pi : M^{n+1} \rightarrow M^n$  be the projection which drops the last coordinate, and let  $Z := \pi(Y)$ . For each  $\bar{a} \in Z$  let  $Y\bar{a} := \{y : (\bar{a}, y) \in Y\}$ . Suppose that for every  $\bar{a} \in Z$  the set  $Y\bar{a}$  is convex and bounded above but does not have a supremum in  $M$ . We let  $\sim$   $\emptyset$ -definable equivalence relation on  $M^n$  given by

$$\bar{a} \sim \bar{b} \text{ for all } \bar{a}, \bar{b} \in M^n \setminus Z, \text{ and } \bar{a} \sim \bar{b} \Leftrightarrow \sup Y\bar{a} = \sup Y\bar{b} \text{ if } \bar{a}, \bar{b} \in Z.$$

Let  $\bar{Z} := Z / \sim$ , and for each tuple  $\bar{a} \in Z$  we denote by  $[\bar{a}]$  the  $\sim$ -class of  $\bar{a}$ . There is a natural

$\emptyset$ -definable total order on  $M \cup \bar{Z}$ , defined as follows. Let  $\bar{a} \in Z$  and  $c \in M$ . Then  $[\bar{a}] < c$  if and only if  $w < c$  for all  $w \in Y\bar{a}$ . Also, we say  $c < [\bar{a}]$  iff  $\neg([\bar{a}] < c)$ , i.e. there exists  $w \in Y\bar{a}$  such that  $c \leq w$ . If  $\bar{a}$  is not  $\sim$ -equivalent to  $\bar{b}$  then there is some  $x \in M$  such that  $[\bar{a}] < x < [\bar{b}]$  or  $[\bar{b}] < x < [\bar{a}]$  and so  $<$  induces a total order on  $M \cup \bar{Z}$ . We call such a set  $\bar{Z}$  a sort (in this case,  $\emptyset$ -definable sort) in  $\bar{M}$ , where  $\bar{M}$  is the Dedekind completion of  $M$ , and view  $\bar{Z}$  as naturally embedded in  $\bar{M}$ . Similarly, we can obtain a sort in  $\bar{M}$  by considering infima instead of suprema.

Thus, we will consider definable functions from  $M$  to its Dedekind completion  $\bar{M}$ , more precisely in definable sorts of the structure  $\bar{M}$ , representing infima or suprema of definable sets.

Let  $A, D \subseteq M$ ,  $D$  be infinite,  $Z \subseteq \bar{M}$  be an  $A$ -definable sort and  $f : D \rightarrow Z$  be an  $A$ -definable function. We say  $f$  is locally increasing (locally decreasing, locally constant) on  $D$  if for any  $a \in D$  there is an infinite interval  $J \subseteq D$  containing  $\{a\}$  so that  $f$  is strictly increasing (strictly decreasing, constant) on  $J$ ; we also say  $f$  is locally monotonic on  $D$  if it is locally increasing or locally decreasing on  $D$ .

Let  $f$  be an  $A$ -definable function on  $D \subseteq M$ ,  $E$  be an  $A$ -definable equivalence relation on  $D$ . We say  $f$  is strictly increasing (decreasing) on  $D/E$  if for any  $a, b \in D$  with  $a < b$  and  $\neg E(a, b)$  we have  $f(a) < f(b)$  ( $f(a) > f(b)$ ).

Proposition 6. [13] Let  $M$  be a weakly o-minimal structure,  $A \subseteq M$ ,  $p \in S_1(A)$  be a non-algebraic type. Then any  $A$ -definable function of which the domain contains the set  $p(M)$  is locally monotonic or locally constant on  $p(M)$ .

## Results

Definition 7 (Verbovskiy V.V., [14, 15]) Let  $M$  be a weakly o-minimal structure,  $B, D \subseteq M$ ,  $A \subseteq \bar{M}$  be a  $B$ -definable sort and  $f : D \rightarrow A$  be a  $B$ -definable function that is locally increasing (decreasing) on  $D$ . We say that the function  $f$  has depth  $n$  on the set  $D$  if there exist equivalence

relations  $E_1(x, y), \dots, E_n(x, y)$  partitioning  $D$  into infinitely many infinite convex classes so that for every  $2 \leq i \leq n$  each  $E_i$ -class is partitioned

into infinitely many infinite convex  $E_{i-1}$ -subclasses and the following holds:

- $f$  is strictly increasing (decreasing) on each  $E_1$ -class;
- $f$  is strictly decreasing (increasing) on  $D/E_k$  for every odd  $k \leq n$  (or the same,  $f$  is strictly decreasing (increasing) on each  $E_{k+1}(a, M)/E_k$  for any  $a \in D$ );
- $f$  is locally increasing (decreasing) on  $D/E_k$  for every even  $k \leq n$ ;
- $f$  is strictly monotonic on  $D/E_n$ .

In this case, we say that the function  $f$  is locally increasing (decreasing) of depth  $n$ .

Obviously, a strictly increasing (decreasing) function is locally increasing (decreasing) of depth 0.

Theorem 8 (Verbovskiy V.V., [15]) Let  $T$  be a weakly o-minimal theory. Then any definable function into a definable sort has a finite depth.

Proposition 9 [4] Let  $T$  be a weakly o-minimal theory,  $M \models T$ ,  $A \subseteq M$ ,  $p, q \in S_1(A)$ , be non-algebraic,  $p \not\leq^w q$ . Then the following holds:

- (1)  $p$  is irrational  $\Leftrightarrow q$  is irrational;
- (2)  $p$  is quasirational  $\Leftrightarrow q$  is quasirational.

Theorem 10. Let  $T$  be an almost  $\omega$ -categorical weakly o-minimal theory,  $M \models T$ ,  $p, q \in S_1(\emptyset)$  be non-algebraic,  $p \not\leq^w q$ ,  $\text{dcl}(\{a\}) \cap q(M) \neq \emptyset$  for some  $a \in p(M)$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $\text{RC}_{\text{bin}}(p) > \text{RC}_{\text{bin}}(q)$ ;
- (2) there is no an  $\emptyset$ -definable function  $f: p(M) \rightarrow q(M)$  being a bijection of  $p(M)$  on  $q(M)$ ;
- (3)  $\text{dcl}(\{b\}) \cap p(M) = \emptyset$  for any  $b \in q(M)$ ;
- (4) there exist an  $\emptyset$ -definable function  $f: p(M) \rightarrow q(M)$  being locally constant on  $p(M)$ .

Proof of Theorem 10. By Proposition 9 the types  $p$  and  $q$  are either isolated or quasirational or irrational simultaneously. Without loss of generality, suppose that  $p$  and  $q$  are isolated. The remaining cases are considered similarly.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Assume the contrary: there exists an  $\emptyset$ -definable function  $f: p(M) \rightarrow q(M)$  being a bijection of  $p(M)$  on  $q(M)$ .

Let  $\text{RC}_{\text{bin}}(p) = n$ . Then there exist  $\emptyset$ -definable equivalence relations  $E_1(x, y), E_2(x, y), \dots, E_{n-1}(x, y)$  which partition  $p(M)$  into infinitely many infinite convex classes so that

$$E'_1(x, y) := \exists t_1 \exists t_2 [E_1(t_1, t_2) \wedge f(t_1) = x \wedge f(t_2) = y],$$

for some (any)  $a \in p(M)$ . Consider the following formulas:

$$E'_1(x, y) := \exists t_1 \exists t_2 [E_1(t_1, t_2) \wedge f(t_1) = x \wedge f(t_2) = y],$$

... ..

$$E'_{n-1}(x, y) := \exists t_1 \exists t_2 [E_{n-1}(t_1, t_2) \wedge f(t_1) = x \wedge f(t_2) = y].$$

By Theorem 8 the function  $f$  is strictly monotonic on each  $E_1$ -class and  $f$  is strictly monotonic on each  $E_{k+1}(a, M)/E_k$  for any  $a \in p(M)$ , where  $1 \leq k \leq n-2$ . Therefore we have that  $E'_1(x, y), \dots, E'_{n-1}(x, y)$  are equivalence relations partitioning  $q(M)$  into infinitely many infinite convex classes so that

$$E'_1(b, M) \subset E'_2(b, M) \subset \dots \subset E'_{n-1}(b, M),$$

whence  $\text{RC}_{\text{bin}}(q) \geq n$ , that contradicts the hypothesis.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Since  $\text{dcl}(\{a\}) \cap q(M) \neq \emptyset$  there exist  $b \in q(M)$  and an  $L$ -formula  $\phi(x, y)$  such that

$$M \models \exists! y \phi(a, y) \wedge \phi(a, b).$$

Assume the contrary:  $\text{dcl}(\{b\}) \cap p(M) \neq \emptyset$ . Note that  $a \in \text{dcl}(\{b\})$ . Otherwise there exists  $a_1 \in p(M)$  such that  $a_1 \neq a$  and  $a_1 \in \text{dcl}(\{b\})$ . Since  $b \in \text{dcl}(\{a\})$ , we have that  $a_1 \neq \text{dcl}(\{a\})$ , and this implies an infinity of  $\text{dcl}(\{a\})$ , contradicting the almost  $\omega$ -categoricity of  $T$ . Thus,  $a \in \text{dcl}(\{b\})$ . Then there exists an  $L$ -formula  $\phi'(x, y)$

$$M \models \exists! y \phi'(a, y) \wedge \exists! x \phi'(x, b) \wedge \phi'(a, b).$$

Define the function  $f$  as follows:  $f(a) = b \Leftrightarrow \phi'(a, b)$ . It is not difficult to see that  $f$  bijectively maps  $p(M)$  onto  $q(M)$ , contradicting our assumption.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4). Assume the contrary:  $f: p(M) \rightarrow q(M)$  is an  $\emptyset$ -definable function and  $f$  is not locally constant on  $p(M)$ . Then  $f$  must be locally monotonic on  $p(M)$ , i.e. either locally increasing or locally decreasing by Proposition 6. But then  $f$  bijectively maps  $p(M)$  onto  $q(M)$ . Then  $\text{dcl}(\{b\}) \cap p(M) \neq \emptyset$  for some (any)  $b \in q(M)$  which contradicts (3).

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $f: p(M) \rightarrow q(M)$  be an  $\emptyset$ -definable function being locally constant on  $p(M)$ . Consider the following formula:

$$E(x, y) := [x < y \rightarrow \forall t (x < t < y \rightarrow f(x) = f(t) = f(y))] \wedge$$

$$\wedge [x > y \rightarrow \forall t (x > t > y \rightarrow f(x) = f(t) = f(y))].$$

Clearly,  $E(x, y)$  is an equivalence relation partitioning  $p(M)$  into infinitely many infinite convex classes.



Let  $RC_{bin}(p) = n$ . Then there exist  $\emptyset$ -definable equivalence relations  $E_1(x, y), E_2(x, y), \dots, E_{n-1}(x, y)$  partitioning  $p(M)$  into infinitely many infinite convex classes so that

$$E_1(a, M) \subset E_2(a, M) \subset \dots \subset E_{n-1}(a, M)$$

for some (any)  $a \in p(M)$ .

Obviously, for some  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  we have that  $E(x, y) \equiv E_i(x, y)$ . Then we assert that  $RC_{bin}(q) = n - i$ . Indeed,  $f$  is a constant on each  $E_i$ -class. Further, we consider the behaviour of the function  $f$  on each  $E_{i+1}(a, M)/E_i$ , where  $a \in p(M)$ . It must be strictly monotonic on each  $E_{i+1}(a, M)/E_i$ , since otherwise there exists an  $\emptyset$ -definable equivalence relation  $\bar{E}(x, y)$  such that

$$E_i(a, M) \subset \bar{E}(a, M) \subset E_{i+1}(a, M)$$

which contradicts that the relation  $E_{i+1}$  is an immediate successor of the relation  $E_i(x, y)$  among all  $\emptyset$ -definable equivalence relations on  $p(M)$ . Similarly, we can prove that  $f$  is strictly monotonic on each  $E_{k+1}(a, M)/E_k$ , where  $i \leq k \leq n-2$  and  $f$  is strictly monotonic on  $p(M)/E_{n-1}$ .

Consider the following formulas:

$$E'_{i+1}(x, y) := \exists t_1 \exists t_2 [U_p(t_1) \wedge U_p(t_2) \wedge E_{i+1}(t_1, t_2) \wedge f(t_1) = x \wedge f(t_2) = y],$$

... ..

$$E'_{n-1}(x, y) := \exists t_1 \exists t_2 [U_p(t_1) \wedge U_p(t_2) \wedge E_{n-1}(t_1, t_2) \wedge f(t_1) = x \wedge f(t_2) = y].$$

We can establish that  $E'_{i+1}(x, y), \dots, E'_{n-1}(x, y)$  are equivalence relations partitioning  $q(M)$  into infinitely many infinite convex classes so that

$$E'_{i+1}(b, M) \subset E'_{i+2}(b, M) \subset \dots \subset E'_{n-1}(b, M),$$

whence  $RC_{bin}(q)$   $\emptyset$ -definable equivalence

### Conclusion

We have found necessary and sufficient conditions in order to the binary convexity ranks of non-weakly orthogonal non-algebraic 1-types in almost omega-categorical weakly o-minimal theories were equal in the case of existing some definable function between the sets of realizations of these 1-types.

relation  $E^q(x, y)$  partitioning  $q(M)$  into infinitely many infinite convex classes so that

$$E^q(b, M) \subset E'_{i+1}(b, M),$$

consider the following formula:

$$\hat{E}(x, y) := \exists t_1 \exists t_2 [E^q(t_1, t_2) \wedge f(x) = t_1 \wedge f(y) = t_2].$$

Obviously,

$$E_i(a, M) \subset \hat{E}(a, M) \subset E_{i+1}(a, M),$$

contradicting also that the relation  $E_{i+1}$  is an immediate successor of the relation  $E_i(x, y)$  among all  $\emptyset$ -definable equivalence relations on  $p(M)$ . Similarly, we can prove that there is no an  $\emptyset$ -definable equivalence relation  $E^q(x, y)$  partitioning  $q(M)$  into infinitely many infinite convex classes so that

$$E'_k(b, M) \subset E^q(b, M) \subset E'_{k+1}(b, M)$$

for every  $i+1 \leq k \leq n-2$  or

$$E'_{n-1}(b, M) \subset E^q(b, M).$$

Thus,  $RC_{bin}(q) = n - i$ , i.e.  $RC_{bin}(p) > RC_{bin}(q)$ .

Corollary 11. Let  $T$  be an almost  $\omega$ -categorical weakly o-minimal theory,  $p, q \in S_1(\emptyset)$  be non-algebraic,  $\mathcal{K}^w, dcl(\{a\}) \cap q(M) \neq \emptyset$  for some  $a \in p(M)$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $RC_{bin}(p) = RC_{bin}(q)$ ;
- (2) there exists an  $\emptyset$ -definable function  $f: p(M) \rightarrow q(M)$  being a bijection of  $p(M)$  on  $q(M)$ ;
- (3)  $dcl(\{b\}) \cap p(M) \neq \emptyset$  for any  $b \in q(M)$ ;
- (4) there exists an  $\emptyset$ -definable function  $f: p(M) \rightarrow q(M)$  being locally monotonic on  $p(M)$ .

This research has been funded by the Science Committee of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan (Grant No. AP08855544).

## REFERENCES

- 1 Macpherson H.D., Marker D. and Steinhorn C. Weakly o-minimal structures and real closed fields // Transactions of The American Mathematical Society, vol. 352, issue 12, 2000, pp. 5435–5483.
- 2 Dickmann M. Elimination of quantifiers for ordered valuation rings // The Journal of Symbolic Logic, vol. 52, 1987, pp. 116–128.
- 3 Van Den Dries L., Lewenberg A.H. T-convexity and tame extensions // The Journal of Symbolic Logic, vol. 60, issue 1, 1995, pp. 74–102.
- 4 Baizhanov B.S. Expansion of a model of a weakly o-minimal theory by a family of unary predicates // The Journal of Symbolic Logic, vol. 66, issue 3, 2001, pp. 1382–1414.
- 5 Kulpeshov B.Sh. Weakly o-minimal structures and some of their properties // The Journal of Symbolic Logic, vol. 63, issue 4, 1998, pp. 1511–1528.
- 6 Ikeda K., Pillay A., Tsuboi A. On theories having three countable models // Mathematical Logic Quarterly, vol. 44, issue 2, 1998, pp. 161–166.
- 7 Sudoplatov S.V. Classification of countable models of complete theories, part 1. Novosibirsk: Novosibirsk State Technical University Publishing House, 2018, ISBN 978-5-7782-3527-4, 326 p.
- 8 Peretyat'kin M.G. A theory with three countable models // Algebra and Logic, vol. 19, issue 2, 1980, pp. 139–147.
- 9 Kulpeshov B.Sh., Sudoplatov S.V. Linearly ordered theories which are nearly countably categorical // Mathematical Notes, vol. 101, issue 3, 2017, pp. 475–483.
- 10 Altayeva A.B., Kulpeshov B.Sh. Binariness of almost w -categorical quite o-minimal theories // Siberian Mathematical Journal, vol. 61, issue 3, 2020, pp. 379–390.
- 11 Kulpeshov B.Sh., Mustafin T.S. Almost w -categorical weakly o-minimal theories of convexity rank 1 // Siberian Mathematical Journal, 2021, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 52–65.
- 12 Kulpeshov B.Sh. A criterion for binarity of almost w categorical weakly o-minimal theories // Siberian Mathematical Journal, 2021, vol. 62, no. 6, pp. 1063–1075.
- 13 Kulpeshov B.Sh. Countably categorical quite o-minimal theories // Journal of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 188, issue 4 (2013), pp. 387–397.
- 14 Verbovskiy V.V. On depth of functions of weakly o-minimal structures and an example of a weakly o-minimal structure without a weakly o-minimal theory // Proceedings of Informatics and Control Problems Institute, 1996, pp. 207–216.
- 15 Verbovskiy V.V. On formula depth of weakly o-minimal structures // Algebra and Model Theory (A.G. Pinus and K.N. Ponomaryov, editors), Novosibirsk, 1997, pp. 209–223.

## Information on authors

### 1. Amirbek Gaukhar Samatkyzy

Master Student, School of Mathematics and Cybernetics, Kazakh-British Technical University, 59, Tole bi street, 050000, Almaty, Kazakhstan;  
ORCID ID: 0000-0003-1442-4691;  
E-mail: ga\_amirbek@kbtu.kz.

### 2. Kulpeshov Beibut Shaiykovich (corresponding author)

Doctor of Physical and Mathematical Sciences, Professor, School of Mathematics and Cybernetics, Kazakh-British Technical University, 59, Tole bi street, 050000, Almaty, Kazakhstan;  
ORCID ID: 0000-0002-4242-0463;  
E-mail: b.kulpeshov@kbtu.kz.

## Авторлар туралы мәліметтер

### 1. Амирбек Гаухар Саматқызы

Магистрант, Математика және кибернетика факультеті, Қазақстан-Британ техникалық университеті, Төле би көшесі, 59, 050000, Алматы қ., Қазақстан;

ORCID ID: 0000-0003-1442-4691;

E-mail: ga\_amirbek@kbtu.kz.

**2. Кулпешов Бейбіт Шайыкович** (корреспонденция авторы)

Физика математика ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, Математика және кибернетика факультеті,  
Қазақстан-Британ техникалық университеті, Төле би көшесі, 59, 050000, Алматы қ, Қазақстан;

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-4242-0463;

E-mail: b.kulpeshov@kbtu.kz .

**Сведения об авторах**

**1. Амирбек Гаухар Саматкызы**

Магистрант, факультет математики и кибернетики, Казахстанско-Британский технический  
университет, ул. Толе би, 59, 050000, г. Алматы, Казахстан;

ORCID ID: 0000-0003-1442-4691;

E-mail: ga\_amirbek@kbtu.kz.

**2. Кулпешов Бейбут Шайыкович** (автор для корреспонденции)

Доктор физико-математических наук, профессор, факультет математики и кибернетики,  
Казахстанско-Британский технический университет, ул. Толе би, 59, 050000, г. Алматы, Казахстан;

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-4242-0463;

E-mail: b.kulpeshov@kbtu.kz .